MDR: M4-02-2022-01

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

I. DISPUTE

- 1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement for date of service 02/07/01?
 - b. The request was received on 02/05/02.

II. EXHIBITS

- 1. Requestor, Exhibit 1:
 - a. TWCC 60 and Letter Requesting Dispute Resolution dated 03/08/01
 - b. HCFA's
 - c. EOB
 - d. Extended list of reimbursements from other carriers
 - e. Medical Records
 - f. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 2. Respondent, Exhibit 2:
 - a. TWCC 60 and Response to a Request for Dispute Resolution dated 03/05/02
 - b. HCFA's
 - c. Audit summaries/EOB
 - d. Medical Records
 - e. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 3. Per Rule 133.307 (g) (3), the Division forwarded a copy of the requestor's 14 day response to the insurance carrier on <u>04/09/02</u>. Per Rule 133.307 (g) (4) or (5), the carrier representative signed for the copy on <u>04/11/02</u>. The response from the insurance carrier was received in the Division on <u>03/05/02</u>. Based on 133.307 (i) the insurance carrier's response is timely.
- 4. Notice of Medical Dispute is reflected as Exhibit #3 of the Commission's case file.

MDR: M4-02-2022-01

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

1. Requestor:

a. "The Carrier has unfairly reduced our bill when other workers' compensation carriers have established that our charges are fair and reasonable because thy are paying 85%-100% of our billed charges, and group carriers are allowing 100% of our billed charges. Enclosed are examples of bills for the same/similar type of treatment of other patients and their insurance companies interpretation of fair and reasonable as shown by the amounts paid." The provider is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$4,645.99 for date of service 02/07/01.

2. Respondent:

a. THE CARRIER, IN DETERMINING WHAT CONSTITUTES A 'FAIR AND REASONABLE' DID CONSIDER THE MEDICARE, PPO AND HMO PAYMENTS, AND REVIEWED THE COMMISSION'S OWN GUIDELINES FOR ACUTE CARE. ACUTE GUIDELINES STATE THAT \$1118.00 IS A VALID REIMBURSEMENT FOR A FULL DAY OF INPATIENT CARE, OR APPROXIMATELY 24 HOURS. BY DEFINITION, OUTPATIENT OR AMBULATORY SURGICAL SERVICES ARE THOSE THAT REQUIRE LESS THAN 90 MINUTES ANESTHESIA TIME AND LESS THAT FOUR HOURS OF RECOVERY. THIS MEANS THE PATIENT RECEIVES CARE FROM THE FACILITY FOR $1/4^{TH}$ OF THE TIME OF BEING IN AN INPATIENT SETTING FOR A FULL DAY, AND THE FACILITY IS PAID AT THE EQUIVALENT OF A ONE DAY INPATIENT STAY. THE ACUTE CARE FEE GUIDELINES WERE USED AS A CONSIDERATION IN DETERMINING REIMBURSEMENT-HOWEVER, THIS DOES NOT MEAN THAT INPATIENT GUIDELINES WERE APPLIED TO THIS SERVICE. THE CARRIER HAS CONSISTENTLY APPLIED THIS REIMBURSEMENT RATIONALE FOR ALL A.S.C. SERVICES PROVIDED IN 2001."

IV. FINDINGS

- 1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307(d) (1) (2), the only date of service eligible for review is 02/07/01.
- 2. The provider billed \$5,763.99 for date of service 02/07/01.
- 3. The carrier paid \$1,118.00 for date of service 02/07/01.
- 4. The amount in dispute is \$4,645.99 for date of service 02/07/01.
- 5. The carrier denies additional reimbursement on the submitted EOB as M-"IN TEXAS, OUTPATIENT SERVICES ARE TO BE PAID AS FAIR AND REASONABLE."

MDR: M4-02-2022-01

V. RATIONALE

Medical Review Division's rationale:

The medical documentation indicates the services were performed at an ambulatory surgery center. Commission Rule 134.401 (a)(4) states ASCs, "shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate..."

Section 413.011 (d) of the Texas Labor Code states, "Guidelines for medical services must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fees charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. The Commission shall consider the increased security of payment afforded by this subtitle in establishing the fee guidelines."

The provider has submitted reimbursement data to document what they consider fair and reasonable reimbursement. The provider has submitted EOBs from other carriers, these indicate that the provider has accepted from 84% to 100% of the billed amount as fair and reasonable reimbursement. The prevailing ICD-9 code on these EOBs is 836.1, which is the same as the date of service in dispute. The provider's documentation does provide some evidence of fair and reasonable reimbursement.

The Carrier has also submitted reimbursement data to document what they consider fair and reasonable reimbursement, and to comply with Commission Rule 133.304 (i)(1-4). The carrier compares the amount of reimbursement the provider received with the amount of reimbursement the Medical Fee Guidelines allow a hospital for inpatient surgery. The carrier also compares their reimbursement with that allowed by Medicare. The carrier has submitted their methodology and though, the entire methodology may not necessarily be concurred in by the Medical Review Division, the requirements of the referenced Rule have been met.

Due to the fact that there is no current fee guideline for ASCs, the Medical Review Division has to determine based on the parties' submission of information, which party has provided the more persuasive evidence. Both parties to the dispute have submitted documentation in support of their position. However, the carrier's documentation is more persuasive and meets the requirement of Sec. 413.011(d) of the Texas Labor Code, "to achieve effective medical cost control." Therefore, **no** additional reimbursement is recommended.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 29th day of May 2002.

Michael Bucklin, LVN Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

MB/mb